



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
04/20/2004	Ming Nien	NIEN3034/EM	2576
12/07/2005		EXAMINER	
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC		PUROL, DAVID M	
ANE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
VA 22314		3634	
	04/20/2004 12/07/2005 MAS, PLLC NE	04/20/2004 Ming Nien 12/07/2005 MAS, PLLC NE	04/20/2004 Ming Nien NIEN3034/EM 12/07/2005 EXAM MAS, PLLC PUROL, E ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/827,302	NIEN, MING
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	David M. Purol	3634
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ag 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the construction are constructed as a second and access applicant may not request that any objection to the construction are constructed as a second are c	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	(PTO-413) ute
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/827,302

Art Unit: 3634

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Bryant. Bryant discloses a bottom rail 14, end caps 23 having a retaining portion 40.

- 2. Claims 1,2,5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Braun. Braun discloses a bottom rail 15, end caps 40 having a retaining portion.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6,7,9,11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Braun in view of Tachikawa et al. While Braun does not disclose the retaining portion as including a retaining hole cooperating with a protrusion, Tachikawa et al disclose an end cap 8 having a retaining portion which includes a retaining hole 14 cooperating with a protrusion 13, wherein, to incorporate this teaching into the end caps of Braun for the explicit purpose of fastening the end cap to the rail so as to preclude any undesired movement would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. The particular

Application/Control Number: 10/827,302 Page 3

Art Unit: 3634

location of the retaining hole and protrusion with respect to the end cap and the bottom rail is seen as being a mere matter of design preference.

- 4. Claims 8,10, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bryant in view of Tachikawa et al. While Bryant does not disclose the retaining portion as including a retaining hole cooperating with a protrusion, Tachikawa et al disclose an end cap 8 having a retaining portion which includes a retaining hole 14 cooperating with a protrusion 13, wherein, to incorporate this teaching into the end caps of Bryant for the explicit purpose of fastening the end cap to the rail so as to preclude any undesired movement would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. The specific shape of the retaining hole and protrusion is seen as being a mere matter of design preference. The particular location of the retaining hole and protrusion with respect to the end cap and the bottom rail is seen as being a mere matter of design preference.
- 5. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Braun in view of Renee. While Braun does not disclose the retaining portion as including an annular locating groove cooperating with an annular rib, Renee discloses a retaining portion which includes an annular locating groove 36 cooperating with an annular rib 34, wherein, to incorporate this teaching into the end cap of Braun for the explicit purpose of fastening the end cap to the rail so as to preclude any undesired movement would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/827,302 Page 4

Art Unit: 3634

6. The following prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Debs, Mayer, Sherwood, Schaefer et al, Hunter, Dressell,

Daniels et al, Agos, Terlecke, Liu.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to David M. Purol

at telephone number (571) 272-6833.

David M Purol Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

DMP (571) 272-6833 December 4, 2005